|           | CKLIST FOR QUALITY RUBRICS   | SELF OR PEER ASSESSMENT |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|
| Content   | (contained in the descriptors)   |                         |
| 1.        | product and performance rubrics).  |                         |
| 2.        | The rubric emphasizes essential aspects of understanding/ability that would be evidence in learning standards.           |                         |
| 3.        | The rubric is closely aligned with the specific assignment given to students.  |                         |
| 4.        | The rubric is closely aligned with the checklist (if you provided one for students).                                     |                         |
| 5.        | The rubric addresses accuracy of information, where appropriate.   |                         |
| 6.        | The rubric addresses specificity of information, including detail and example, where appropriate.                        |                         |
| 7.        | The rubric addresses relevancy of information, where appropriate.  |                         |
| 8.        | The content of the rubric is consistent across levels.   |                         |
| Dimensio  | ons  |                         |
|           | The rubric dimensions are clearly defined so students can understand their meaning.                                      |                         |
|           | . The dimensions of the rubric are prioritized or placed in a purposeful order.  |                         |
| Use of la | nguage   |                         |
| 11.       | . The rubric uses language that students will understand.  |                         |
| 12.       | . The rubric is descriptive and specific, rather than evaluative.  |                         |
| 13.       | . When quantitative terms are used, they are supported with quality attributes.  |                         |
| 14.       | . When adjectives are used, they are defined with specific descriptors.  |                         |
| 15.       | . There is consistency in the language used.   |                         |
| Levels    |  |                         |
| 16.       | . The low levels of the rubric describe what is present as well as what isn't present.                                   |                         |
| 17.       | . The top level of the rubric is above the expected standard – it would be a stretch for the highest achieving students. |                         |
| 18.       | . There is equal distance between levels of the rubric.  |                         |
| 19.       | . The levels are appropriately names, given the audience and nature of the rubric's use.                                 |                         |
| Layout    |  |                         |
|           | . The rubric is titled.  |                         |
| 21.       | . There is space for a student name.   |                         |
|           | . The rubric is reader friendly.   |                         |
|           | . The font is readable.  |                         |
| 24.       | . There is good use of white space.  |                         |
|           | . Grids, symbols or lines have been used to assist the user.   |                         |
| 26        | . A comment section allows for further feedback or self-<br>notes. (optional)  |                         |